

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP

Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151)

2 charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com

50 California Street, 22nd Floor

3 San Francisco, California 94111

Telephone: (415) 875-6600

4 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

5 Kevin P.B. Johnson (Bar No. 177129)

kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com

6 Victoria F. Maroulis (Bar No. 202603)

victoriamaroulis@quinnemanuel.com

7 Doug Colt (Bar No. 210915)

dougcolt@quinnemanuel.com

8 Gabriel S. Gross (Bar No. 254672)

gabegross@quinnemanuel.com

9 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 560

Redwood Shores, California 94065-213

10 Telephone: (650) 801-5000

Facsimile: (650) 801-5100

11 Attorneys for Plaintiff, TEGIC

12 COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

15 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

16 ASIAN COMMUNICATIONS PTY LTD., an
17 Australian corporation, and TEGIC
18 COMMUNICATIONS, INC., a Washington
corporation,

19 Plaintiffs,

20 vs.

21 ZI CORPORATION, a Canadian corporation,
and ZI CORPORATION OF AMERICA,
22 INC., a Nevada corporation,

23 Defendants.

CASE NO. 00-0989 MMC

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
SEAL DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED IN
SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S
EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO
DECLARATIONS OF GEORGE TAI
AND LISA DAWSON RE:
DEFENDANTS' REPLY IN SUPPORT
OF CROSS-MOTION TO COMPEL
ARBITRATION**

Date: November 7, 2008

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Crtrm.: 7, 19th Floor

Hon. Maxine M. Chesney


The Court having read and considered

~~On November 7, 2008, the Administrative Motion ("Motion") to Seal Plaintiff's~~
~~Evidentiary Objections to Declarations of George Tai and Lisa Dawson Re: Defendants' Reply in~~
~~Support of Cross-Motion to Compel Arbitration came on for hearing. All appearances were stated~~
~~the Administrative Motion,~~
~~on the record. The Court having considered the pleadings and papers submitted in support of the~~
~~motion, the opposition thereto, and the arguments of counsel, and GOOD CAUSE APPEARING,~~
~~Administrative~~
~~Plaintiff's Motion is hereby GRANTED. The Court finds that the following information in the~~
~~objections constitutes sealable material under L.R. 79-5: sections 1:9-10, 20-23, 23-24, 24-28; 2:6-~~
~~8, 8-9, 9-13, 14-16, 23-24, 24-27; 3:2-4, 12-13, 13-16, 18-20.~~

The Court hereby directs the Clerk to FILE UNDER SEAL the foregoing materials.
 an unredacted version of plaintiff's Evidentiary Objections. Plaintiff shall file a redacted version
 of such objections in the public record.

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated: November 5, 2008


 Hon. Maxine M. Chesney
 United States District Judge